DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 276 211 EC 190 977

AUTHOR Hickman, Andrew C.; And Others

TITLE Notebook for Data Collection and Submission: A

Working Paper for Use by Special Education Local Plan Area Representatives, Participating Districts, and

Schools in the Cooperative Evaluation Study of

Existing Student Study Team Processes. Working Paper

No. 2.

INSTITUTION California State Dept. of Education, Sacramento.

Office of Program Evaluation and Research. Department of Education, Washington, DC.

SPONS AGENCY Departmen
PUB DATE 11 Oct 85

PUB DATE 11 Oct 85 CONTRACT G0084C3505

NOTE 67p.; For related working papers and the final

report, see EC 190 976-981. Several pages may not reproduce well due to marginal legibility and small

print.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Check Lists; Data Collection; *Disabilities;

Elementary Secondary Education; Guidelines;
*Interdisciplinary Approach; *Records (Forms);
*Special Education; *Student Evaluation; Student

Records

IDENTIFIERS California; Child Study Teams

ABSTRACT

The paper is the second of a series of working papers developed by a project which conducted a cooperative study of existing student study team processes in nine California special education local plan areas. The working papers augment the final report by providing detailed descriptions of the methods used and the preliminary findings. This document consists of a compilation of data collection forms and includes an overview with flowchart and timeline, checklist of data collection tasks, guidelines for the selection of staff, student study teams, and students participating in the school data collection, the survey form for study team participants, the log of student study team process decisions, a list of participating districts, the individual student record data collection form, a partial listing of possible instructional modifications, and survey compilation forms. (DB)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
 from the original document.





Notebook for Data Collection and Submission

A Working Paper for Use by Special Education Local Plan Area Representatives, Participating Districts, and Schools in the Cooperative Evalution Study of Existing Student Study Team Processes "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.


 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Prepared by

Program Evalution and Research Division Special Studies and Evalution Reports Unit Andrew C. Hickman, Research Assistant Geoffrey P. Stockdale, Research Associate Margaret Merrick Scheffelin, Project Director

October 11, 1985

Cooperative Agreement Number G0084C3505 Working Paper No. 2



PROJECT DIRECTOR'S FOREWARD

This document is one in a series of five working papers produced by staff members of a project established to conduct a cooperative evaluation study of existing student study team processes. The project was partially funded under a cooperative agreement between the Office of Special Education Programs of the U.S. Department of Education and the Program Evaluation and Research Division of the California State Department of Education. The Division assigned the responsibility for administering the project to the Special Studies and Evaluation Reports Unit. The study was conducted in close collaboration with a Project Advisory Committee and staff from 31 schools in 22 school districts in nine randomly selected Special Education Local Plan Areas in California. All local participating agencies and schools were volunteers and were operating some form of student study team process. The duration of the study was from October 1984 through June 1986.

The purpose of this series of working papers is to augment the final report of the study by providing detailed descriptions of (1) the methods developed and used in the study and (2) the preliminary findings which had been presented to local and state-level participants in the study for their review and comment. The title of the final report is "Existing Student Study Team Processes in Selected Volunteer Special Education Local Plan Areas, School Districts, and Schools in California: A Descriptive Evaluation Study." The report, like the working papers, has been submitted to ERIC for dissemination.

The working papers are listed below in the order in which they were completed in final form.

Stockdale, Geoffrey, and Margaret Merrick Scheffelin. "Six Aspects of Existing Student Study Team Processes in Participating Schools, Districts, and SELPAs." Working Paper No. 1. July 1985.

Hickman, Andrew, Geoffrey Stockdale, and Margaret Merrick Scheffelin. "Notebook for Data Collection and Submission: A Working Paper for Use by Special Education Local Plan Area Representatives, Participating Districts, and Schools in the Cooperative Evaluation Study of Existing Student Study Team Processes." Working Paper No. 2. October 1985.

Moger, Roxanne. "Existing Student Study Team Processes in Selected Volunteer Special Education Local Plan Areas, School Districts, and Schools in California: A Descriptive Evaluation Study: Draft Preliminary Findings." Working Paper No.3. April 1986.



Hickman, Andrew, and Geoffrey Stockdale. "A Summary of Responses to the Survey of Student Study Team Participants." Working Paper No. 4. June 1986.

Smith, Kay Slavin. "Procedural Treatment of Individual Student Record Form (Form 13) Data." Working Paper No. 5. June 1986.

To the project staff's knowledge, this study is the first in the nation to yield information on the characteristics of students brought to the attention of student study team processes. There were no tested data collection instruments available for use or adaptation. Project staff, members of the Advisory Committee, and local staff worked cooperatively to develop, review, and complete the data collection forms; to review and critique the preliminary findings; and to review the draft of the final report. The analysis of the data was done by project staff. Analyzing survey data was fairly straightforward; analyzing the intensive student data was a task of another order of magnitude. Much credit is due to the project staff, who successfully carried on the simultaneous tasks of data analysis and flood control. It is common to safeguard one's data from ordinary mishaps such as misfiling or inadvertent discarding of subtotals. rare to safeguard one's data from the ever-rising waters of a river threatening to overflow the levee behind the building in which one is working.

In the spirit of shared scholarship the staff offers these working papers as a record of their thought and work and as an assist to scholars who may be examining similar topics in the future. In the spirit of giving credit where credit is due, I wish to acknowledge the creative and assiduous work of the members of the project staff, all of whom were graduate students at California State University, Sacramento, during their work in the project. In the order in which they began their work, they are Geoffrey Stockdale, Andrew Hickman, Kay Slavin Smith, and Roxanne Moger. Staff members Stockdale, Smith, and Moger are in the field of communication studies; Mr. Hickman's field is psychology.

As one of the first evaluation studies funded under the expanded evaluation authorization of Public Law 98-199, the information may be used at local, state, and federal levels. It must be noted that nothing in these working papers, or in the final report, is to be construed as an official policy or position of either the California State Department of Education or the U.S. Department of Education. Finally, the responsibility for omissions and inaccuracies must remain mine, as project director.

Margaret Merrick Scheffelin, Ph.D. Consultant, Program Evaluation & Research Division

Sacramento, California.
June 1986.




TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Figure 1: Data Collection Overview	1
II.	Checklist of Data Collection Tasks	2
III.	Figure 2: Selecting School Staff, Student Study Teams, and Students to Participate in the School Data Collection	3
IV.	Project Overview	4
v.	Form 10: Survey of Student Study TeamsParticipants	5
VI.	Form 11: Log of Student Study Team Process Decisions	20
VII.	Confidentiality Coding	22
VIII	Form 13: Individual Student Record	23
IX.	Partial List of Modifications	53
x.	Form 14: Compilation Form for Survey	58
XI.	Form 15: Compilation Form for Logs	59
XII.	Form 17: Compilation Form for Student Record	60



Data Collection and Compilation Flowchart.



Timeline

Form #	Approx. Date Mailed by Project	Mailed by at Schools Ends				
10/14 Survey	Oct. 15	Nov. 4	Nov. 15			
11/15 12/16———	Oct. 15-20 DROPPED	Dec. 13	Dec. 20 to Jan. 6/86			
13/17	Oct. 15-20	Dec. 13	Dec. 20 to Jan. 6/86			

CHECKLIST OF DATA COLLECTION TASKS

	Date Done:
1. Select samples at school:	
a. Staff for Survey	
b. Student study teams for Log	
c. Students for Student Record	
2. Copy and distribute:	
a. Survey and Project Overview	
3. Select and orient persons to complete:	
a. Log(s)	
b. Student Record(s)	
4. Copy and distribute Log and Student Record forms:	
a. Log form with Confidentiality Coding and Partial	
b. Student Record forms with Confidentiality Coding and Partial List of Modifications <u>if needed</u>	
5. Return of data collection forms to SELPA	
a. Form 10: Survey (Nov. 4?)	
b. Form 11: Log (Dec. 13 to 19?)	
c. Form 13: Student Record (Dec. 13 to 19?)	
6. Complete Compilation forms and send with completed data collection forms to Sacramento:	
a. Forms 14 and Surveys	
b Forms 15 and Logs (photocopy before sending)	
c. Forms 17 and Student Records (photocopy before sending)	



Figure 2:

Selecting School Staff, Student Study Teams, and Students to Participate in the School Data Collection

Form	Recommendations on Selections
#10 Survey Schools that have 10 staff or fewer.	Select all 10
Schools that have more than 10 staff.	Select at least 10, and may add others as desired. Example: All regularly attending members plus a random sample of other staff. There is no limit on the number o survey forms the project staff will analyze.
#11 Log Schools that have only one Student Study Team	Select that team
Schools that have more than one Student Study Team	If you have more than one team ask yourself, do you want information about all of them? Is it feasible? If not, which level gives you the most typical picture? Do you need all levels? There is no limit to the number of Log forms the project staff will analyze.

#13 Individual Student Record Student Study Teams that have logged in Use all students 10 students or fewer.

Student Study Teams that have logged more than 10 students.

Select a minimum of 10 and a maximum of 20 students. Choose 10 at random and select others (up to 10) to illustrate the variety of student characteristics and types of modifications suggested.





CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION		Bill Honig
721 Capitol Mall	•	Superintendent
Sacramento, CA 95814-4785		of Public Instruction

Coop Agreement No. G0084C3505

COOPERATIVE EXPLORATORY STUDY ON STUDENT STUDY TEAM PROCESSES

Project Overview

Conducted under a cooperative agreement between the California State Department of Education and the United States Department of Education, the project is examining the purposes, composition, operation, and outcomes of existing student study team processes with a selected group of 29 regular elementary and secondary schools in California in 22 school districts in nine volunteer Special Education Local Plan Areas: Colusa County, Modoc County SELPA, Mt. Diablo Unified School District, North Region SELPA of Alameda County, Siskiyou County SELPA, Tri-City Consortium (Calaveras, Amador, Tuolumne), Ventura County SELPA, and West End SESR of San Bernardino County. One main purpose of a student study team process is to provide technical assistance to teachers in order to help their students to succeed. The study is descriptive, not experimental or prescriptive. The 18 month funding period is from October 1, 1984 through March 31, 1986, with costs shared (state \$81,560 and federal \$122,340). The large scope of the project is possible only with local contribution of interest, experience, time and energy. The authorization for the Secretary of Education to enter into cooperative agreements comes from P.L. 98-199.

A Project Advisory Committee is actively involved in planning and reviewing the operation of the project. The members of the Project Advisory Committee are as follows: Dr. Stephen A. Fiss, Alum Rock Union Elementary School District; Dr. Carolyn Fowle, Assistant Director, Program Evaluation and Research Division, California State Department of Education; Ms. Dora Golden, Los Angeles Unified School District; Milton B. LeCouteur, Ventura County Special Education Services Area Consortium, and President, SELPA Directors Association; Betty Richland, Assistant Director, Special Education Division, California State Department of Education; Marie Otto, Superintendent, Huntington Beach Union High School District; Dr. S. Jerry Trow, Office of the Stanislaus County Superintendent of Schools, and Professor Alice Watkins, California State University, Los Angeles, Member, Commission on Special Education.

The second of three scheduled workshops was held on September 27, 1985. Intensive data collection will take place in the fall semester of 1985-86. Information gathered by school staff will be analyzed by project staff, Geoffrey Stockdale and Andrew Hickman, and shared among participants. A final report is expected to be ready by June 30, 1986. For futher information, contact Margaret Merrick Scheffelin, Ph.D., Project Director, Program Evaluation and Research Division, (916) 322-5012.

MS/GS/AH 10/10/85



4

	Please return to:
	District
DATA COLLECTION FORM 10	·
Survey of Student Study Team Participants	SELPA
Cooperative Evaluation Study Of Existing Student Study Team Processes	Coop Agreement No. G0084C3505
Information recorded by:(Name)	
(Position)	•
(School)	
(Date)	•

Instructions

Answer these questions from your own experience with student study team processes. For each item please check <u>all</u> that apply.

- 1. There are many purposes of the student study team. Check all of the purposes of the student study team at your school, and the effectiveness with which they are met.
 - 1.1 In some cases the student is an explicit focus of student study team purposes.

			Effectiveness at Meeting Goals							
		Purpose Of Our SST	Poor	Moder- ate	Excel- lent					
a.	To provide a quick, professional approach to maximizing each student's education.	()	()	()	()					
b.	To ensure correct academic placement of students.	()	()	()	()					
c.	To develop interventions which enable students to function in the regular education program.	()	()	()	()					



Coop Agreement No. Q0084C3505

Effectiveness at

			_	•.	Me	eti	ng	Goa!	.8	
			Of O		Po	ocr		ler-		ccel
	d.	To serve <u>all</u> students experiencing learning problems.	()	()	()	()
	e.	To serve <u>all</u> students experiencing emotional problems.	()	()	()	()
	f.	To serve all students experiencing behavioral problems.	()	()	()	()
	g.	To serve students in the regular education program having learning problems.	() -	- ()	()	()
	h.	To serve students in the regular education program having emotional problems.	- ()	()	()	()
	i.	To serve students in the regular education program having behavioral problems.	()	()	()	()
	j.	To provide a vehicle for delivering appropriate services and interventions for students in a coordinated manner.)	()	()	()
1.2		some cases the technical assistance act m are an explicit focus of student stud						lent	st	udy
	а.	To coordinate delivery of services to students.	()	()	()	()
	b.	To make recommendations for interventions.	()	()	()	()
	c.	To act as a resource in developing interventions.	()	()	()	()
	d.	To develop creative ways of dealing with students.	()	()	()	()
	e.	To provide assistance to classroom teachers.	()	()	()	()
	f.	To monitor progress of interventions.	()	()	()	()
	g.	To provide an immediate support system to classroom teachers.)	()	()	()



F-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8
W 444
_
334

			_	Mades : ata	220.5 1
c4	क्षेत्र क्षेत्रक्राचार्विक अंक्ष्मुक्तान्त्रक अस्तिक्षान्त्रक अस्ति				
	enchreiten im independen interfed ihr				
	discussion about the straightfully glasticities	4 5	4 4	1 4	4 4
	de dissipatatio è acattame es adfine-bate-bate-	# 3	4 1	* *	* *
-1	partered to district the segulary				
	annendate any disservation ariginates religions and disserve was velicity	# ¥ ;	4 \$	€ ⇒	. ,
•	45 Januaride equation stages god \$4000 analysis	a b)	£ \$	# ¥	r 3
•1	the tab andigment of the contract of the contr			4 >	
٠	nes septégas della progrésiate de cardigas giornigamigate sell éspirations paraistiques galoris su grandella	« *	# #	« ,	v 3,

- - to deep reason approximately administrate productions and so studies in factor ar arealisade aneally early grandedness

		•		Marity and									
						Past sta			political property of the political property	t-mad			
*	-	4	•	•	•	*	•	•	•				
•	to provide an-estima index-ate	4	•	•	1	•	•	•	•				
3	to these than the against allered the	4	•	•	1	•	•	•	•				
•	to grantle good trackground as abulants on the good and applications of applications of the constitution o	• #• •	•	•	*	•	4	•	•				
•	to tarte especialistic especialistical project	*	H	4	•	*	٠	•	•				
	•			_		-	-						

Coop Agreement No. 30084C3505

			Purpose	Purpose Of Our SST		Of Our		Of Our					Goa		at
			Of Our									Moder Poor ate			r- Exc len
	t ,	To review individual student problems and plan alternative instructional strategies that can be tested in the regular classroom before a decision is made to refer the student to special education.	())	()	()	()					
	6.	To discuse problems of students rather then decisions as to eligibility of student for special education programs	. ()	()	()	()					
	ħ.	To make remediation a total school enterprise rather than a concern for special education			()	()	()					
1.4		some cases an educational statute is an edy team purposes.	expli	cit	foc	cus	of	stu	deı	nt					
					Effectivene Meeting God			_							
			Of Our		Po	or		ler-		ccel-					
	•.	To ensure compliance with California Education Code 34302. (Identification and referral of studente, modification of regular instructional program.)	()	()	()	()					
	٠,	To ensure compliance with California Education Code 56303. (Referral of student only after the resources of the regular education program have been considered and where appropriate, utilized.)	!)	()	()	()					
	۲.	To ensure compliance with the provisions of Public Law 94-12.	()	()	()	()					



8

1.5 There may be other purposes for student study teams. not mentioned above, that apply at your school; please list them:

2. There are many <u>participants</u> in student study teams. Check those participants you consider regular members, or intermittent or support members, and add comments if you wish.

			Intermi	ttent or	
Participants	Regular	Members	Support	Members	Comments
a. Administrators					
Principal	()	()	
Assistant Principal	()	()	
Vice-Principal	()	<i></i> ()	
Principal's Designee	()	()	
Management Trainee	()	()	
b. Teachers					
Student's classroom teacher	()	()	
Student's previous teacher	()	()	
Teacher receiving student	()	()	

			Intermittent or	G0084C350
Participants	Regular	Members	Support Members	Comments
Student's core teacher	()	()	
Student's elective teacher	()	()	
Teacher-member of student study team	()	()	
Other interested teach	er ()	()	
Miller-Unruh Project teacher	()	() 	
Opportunity class teacher	()	()	
Resource teacher	()	()	
Speech teacher	()	()	
Special day-class teacher	()	()	
English-as-Second Language Teacher (ESL)	()	()	
Remedial/Supplemental teacher	()	()	
c. Specialists			••	
Reading	()	()	
Mathematics	()	()	
Physical Education	()	()	
Resource	()	()	
Speech	()	()	
Bilingual Education	()	()	
Migrant Education	()	()	
Gifted and Talented Education (GATE)	()	()	
Chapter 1	(10	()	v. 10/16/85



Coop Agreement No. G0084C3505


Participants	Regular	Members	Intermittent or Support Members	Comments
School Improvement	()	()	
Audiologist	()	()	
Psychologist	()	()	
Counselor	()	()	
Guidance Consultant	()	()	
Nurse	()	()	
Home-school Coordinator	()	()	
Special Education Clerk	()	()	
Administrative Secretar	:y ()	()	
Interpreter	()	()	
Social Worker	()	()	
Physician	. ()	()	
Probation Officer	()	()	
Parents	()	()	
Student	()	()	
d. Other (Please spec	cify.)		·	

Rev. 10/16/85



11

3.	The Student Study Team Process:	
	Student study teams engage in a variety of activities. Indicate ments below that apply to the student study team process at your	the state-
	a. Identification of students meriting referral.	()
	b. First stage data gathering in referral.	()
	c. Review of referral/request for SST assistance.	()
	d. Student study team scheduling.	()
	e. The student study team meeting.	()
	f. Implementation of modifications/interventions.	()
	g. Review of case (follow-up, progress report, update).	()
	h. Other (Please specify.)	
		·
		_
4.	Many resources for suggestions, modifications and interventions in the literature.	are described
4.1	Which of the following resources are available at your school. that apply.)	(Check all
	a. Teacher time	()
	b. Teaching aids and materials	()
	c. Other participants' time	()
	d. Assessment/Data collection materials	()
	e. Student study team process materials (forms, etc.)	()
	f. Miscellaneous materials/resources (Please specify.)	





	at your school to suggest?	study tear
	a. Environment (example: change seating)	()
	b. Materials (audio-visual aids)	()
	c. Assignments (shorten or simplify)	()
	d. Teaching techniques	()
	e. Learning modality (visual, auditory, tactile)	()
	f. Parent contact	()
	g. Outside resource interventions	()
	h. Behavior shaping	()
	i. Miscellaneous other; specify:	
	4	
5.	What process(es) does your student study team use to recommend in techniques?	
5.	What process(es) does your student study team use to recommend in techniques? a. individual discussion and group decision	ntervention
5.	techniques?	
5.	techniques? a. individual discussion and group decision	()
5.	techniques? a. individual discussion and group decision b. individual discussion and referring teacher reaction	()
5.	a. individual discussion and group decision b. individual discussion and referring teacher reaction c. student participation in discussion	()
5.	a. individual discussion and group decision b. individual discussion and referring teacher reaction c. student participation in discussion d. parent participation in discussion	() () ()

Rev. 10/16/85



of

6.		ch of these procedures are used to report or determine the "success ommended modifications?	•
	a.	No feedback is given to the student study team on the "success" of modifications and the absence of feedback:	
		1. is taken as "no news is good news" () 2. is a problem for the student study team ()	
	b.	Verbal or written feedback is given to the student study team by: 1. person(s) responsible for modfications/interventions ()	
		2. other observers to modifications/interventions ()	
	c.	Verbal or written feedback is given to the student study team by: 1. a predetermined review date ()	*
		2. any regularly scheduled meeting ()	
		3. emergency meetings ()	
		omorgano, meetinge	
	d.	Student study team discusses feedback on "success" of modifications/interventions and:	
		 unquestioningly accepts judgments of person 	
		offering feedback ()	
		discusses and evaluates feedback on "success" of	
		modifications/interventions ()	
		3. develops a consensus on judgment of the "success" of	
		modifications/interventions ()	
		4. accepts the interpretation of an influential team	
		member on the "success" of modifications/interventions ()	
	e.	A formal report by an outside agency (such as community	
		mental health, the probation department, child protective	
		services, etc.) may lead to a student study team judgment	
		of the "success" of modifications/interventions ()	
	f.	modifications/interventions. Please specify those that apply at your school:	





7.			of these procedures are used to report or determine the sollar students after modification/intervention?	access of
	а.	Ird and	lividual judgments of student school behavior, academic possible social/emotional adjustment may be made by:	erformance,
		1.	the student's teacher(s)	()
		2.	other teachers	()
		3.	student study team members	()
		4.	other involved professionals	()
		5.	the student's parents	()
		6.	the studenges tutor	()
		7.	others; please specify:	
				
	b.		ords may be used to judge changes in student school behave formance and social/emotional adjustment by:	oior, academi
		1.	counting the number of tardies	()
		2.	counting the number of ahsences/truancies	()
		3.	counting the number of fights	()
		4.	incidence of "acting out" in class	()
		5.	counting the number of questions answered in group discussions	()
		6.	classroom test scores	()
		7.	completeness of work	()
		8.	achievement test scores	()
		9.	proficiency tests for graduation	()
		10.	expert observers' reports (such as school nurse or psychologist)	()
		11.	various accepted tests of emotional development or stability	()
		12.	other; please specify:	-,- <u>-</u>



8.			f these criteria are used to judge the "success" of a parti after modifications have been made?	icular
	a.	A ci	hange in academic achievement such as:	
		1.	a decline from previous performance	()
		2.	an improvement over previous performance	()
		3.	a closer match between achievement and ability	()
		4.	other; please specify:	
	b.	Beh	avioral changes such as:	
		1.	a reduction of an unwanted behavior	()
		2.	elimination of an unwanted behavior	()
		3.	persistence of an unwanted behavior	()
		4.	appearance of a new, desirable behavior (such as participation in group discussions)	()
		5.	other; please specify:	
	c.	Soc	ial/emotional changes such as:	
		1.	increased emotional maturity	()
		2.	improved emotional stability	()
		3.	increased frustration threshold	()
		4.	decrease in visible anxiety	()
		5.	reduction in emotional display (crying, intense anger, etc.)	()
		6.	other; please specify:	




9. Which of these factors support an effective student study team process at your school?

(Many student study team participants are active in teams at more than one school level, intermediate ar secondary, or elementary and intermediate. Therefore, some respond to will want to check more than one box at the right where applicable.)

a. Leadership is provided to the student study team through:

	Elemen- tary	Inter- mediate	Secon- dary
site administrator attendance of student study team meetings	()	()	()
attendance of a "designee" of the site administrator at student study team meetings	`()	()	()
a chairperson of student study team meetings	()	()	()
a facilitator to keep discussions "on track" at student study team meetings	()	()	()
expressed (written or verbal) support of the student study team by the site administrator	()	()	()
an expressed (written or verbal) expectation by the site adminis- trator that regular education staff will participate in student study team meetings	()	()	()
staff time for a student study team coordinator	, ()	()	()
expert discussion in student study team	()	()	()
other; please specify:			
Comments:			





b. There is active acceptance of responsibility for team success:

		Elemen- tary	Inter- mediate	Secon- dary
1.	the team as a group "shares" in the successes of modification/intervention	()	()	()
2.	individuals accept responsiblity for tasks to be completed outside meetings	()	()	()
3.	regular education teachers accept responsibility for student referrals to the student study team	()	()	()
4.	individuals complete tasks outside meetings by expected completion dates	()	()	()
5.	other, please specify:			
	Comments:			
	ere is active cooperation in problem identificervention:	cation a	nd modifi	cation/
1.	all staff involved with modifications for a particular student attend meetings of the student study team	()	()	()
2.	regular education and special education staff communicate informally outside student study team meetings on student, problems	()	()	()
3.	representatives of appropriate community agencies are invited to participate in student study team meetings	()	()	()
4.	parents are invited to become active members of student study team meetings to discuss their children	()	()	()
5.	other, please specify			
	Comments:			
	18			



d. Communication supports team effectiveness by:

eping records of student study am decisions and task assignments tten reminders to student study am members of upcoming meetings tten reminders of upcoming meetings at include student names and informa- on on the current status of modification riew dates specified at the time of tial modifications	() ()	()	(
tten reminders of upcoming meetings to the time student names and information on the current status of modification view dates specified at the time of tial modifications	ns ()	()	·
t include student names and information on the current status of modification iew dates specified at the time of tial modifications	•	. ,	(
tial modifications	() "		
		()	(
er, please specify	<u> </u>		
av be other factors that support office			
ary, intermediate and secondary levels.	Please	indicate	teams
·			
•			
	ary, intermediate and secondary levels.	nay be other factors that support effective stude cary, intermediate and secondary levels. Please evel of school applies.	may be other factors that support effective student study cary, intermediate and secondary levels. Please indicate level of school applies.

Thank you. Please return this form as soon as possible to your school representative who will send it to your SELPA representative. We appreciate your time and effort.

A copy of the preliminary version of the final report of this project should be arriving at your school/SELPA about March 1, 1986.



Coop Agreement No. G00940350s

Please	Return	to.

א מחאר	COLL	ECTION	EODM	#11
JA TA	COM	T.C.T.I ON	FURM	# 1 1

Log of Student Study Team
Process Decisions

District		
SELPA		

Cooperative Evaluation Study
Of Existing Student Study
Team Processes

Recorded for a period beginning no later than November 1, 1985 (data may cover a period beginning as early as the first of the fall semester 1985-86) and ending no later than December 13, 1985.

Name of person keeping log:	
Position:	
School:	

<u>Instructions</u>

This Log should be kept for each meeting of each student study team selected for data collection in the project. For each student check whatever decisions were arrived at, including any modifications to the regular class program suggested to the referring teacher, and any referral to other services/assessment.

At the end of the data collection period, please return this log to the school representative for returning to the SELPA representative, who will return it to the project director at the State Department of Education. Thank you in advance for your participation in the study and your time and effort during the data collection period.

Instructions for Assuring Confidentiality of Personally Identifiable Information.

Before the completed form is returned to the project director at the State Department of Education; the student names $\underline{\text{must}}$ be removed, and their project identification numbers $\underline{\text{must}}$ be marked on the form.

FORM 11, Rev. 10-9-85; AH/GS/MS



	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		entrant Heat	HIP TO CAME AND AND AND COMMENT			unpil f
	Control Contro	All Ships	-On -O(O)A 			232.; **********	-7112
						, <u>- 10</u>	en e
	·					The state of the second section is a second	14 44 44 44 44 44
				- mini	ini	and the second s	****
1	774	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	ī.,			of Albert on a large back the second	
AMPER 1							
Acada a			Total Andrew			A Constitution of the second s	
The state of the s		2 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		uda o o con chinada	lat ne seu za az		
	a			i			
And the stage of t	to the second				<u> </u>	KURKETE	
		<u> </u>	10.54		الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	REPORTED TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	
444				##4 - 1 ## ### J	ndrovide kobale vidala vivolasi	nered to the last the second	

中部 "快,你吃了,也没,我没有好,你还吃得



Coding For Student Confidentiality

We have drafted a coding scheme to ensure the confidentiality of data on individual students. We believe a five digit code will suffice for maintaining student confidentiality while allowing for descriptive differentiations of SELPAs, districts and scheels. The first digit would represent the SELPA, in a series from 1 to 9; the second digit would indicate a school in a services from 1 to 4; and the student within each school would be coded successively in order of participation in the student study team process in the last three digits, we believe a range of 1 to 999 should be sufficient for individual student numbers within each school. The confidentiality coding is presented in Figure 3.

We shrend for all data on students to be identified with their code number in place of student's names. In no case should material leave school sites as data collected for this preject with the names of students as identification. An example of this coding scheme would be where the following relationship between names and numbers is present:

The cade number 33007 would represent the seventh student (the last 3 digits:007) identified for data collection at College Park High (the second digit: 3) in the Special Education Local Plan Area of Mt. Diable Unified School District (the first digit: 3); hence, 33007 represents SELPA 3, school 3, and the student number 007.



Coop Agreement No. G0084C3505

PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS, SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND SPECIAL EDUCATION LOCAL PLAN AREAS

Districts Schools **SELPA** 1 Colusa County Colusa Unified 1 Burchfield Primary Maxwell Unified 2 Colusa High 3 Maxwell Elementary 2 Modoc County Modoc Joint Unified 1 Alturas Elementary 2 Modoc Jr. High 3 Modoc High 3 Mt. Diablo Unified Mt. Diablo Unified 1 Ayers Elementary 2 Glenbrook Intermediate 3 College Park High 4 North Region SELPA Alameda City Unified 1 Alameda High Albany City Unified 2 Cornell Elementary Berkeley Unified 3 Berkeley High Piedmont City Unified 4 Piedmont Middle Hornbrook Elementary 5 Siskiyou County 1 Hornbrook Elementary (one school district) Weed Elementary Weed Union Elementary 3 Yreka High Yreka Union High Beverly Hills Unified 6 Tri-City SELPA l Beverly Hills High Culver City Unified 2 Farragut Elementary Santa Monica-Malibu 3 John Adams Jr. High Unified 7 Tri-County Consortium Amador County Unified 1 Ione Elementary Calaveras Unified 2 San Andreas Elementary Sonora Elementary 3 Sonora Elementary Fillmore Unified 8 Ventura County l San Cayetano Elementary Consortium Las Virgenes Unified 2 Calabasas High Rio Elementary 3 Rio Del Valle Elementary 9 West End SESR Alta Loma Elementary 1 Alta Loma Jr. High Upland School District 2 Foothill Knolls Elementary 3 Sycamore Elementary 4 Valencia Elementary

Totals

²⁹ Schools



⁹ Special Education Local Plan Areas (SELPAs)

²² School Districts

		Please Return to:
	DATA COLLECTION FORM #13	
		District
	Individual Student Record	
		SELPA
	Cooperative Evaluation Study	
	Of Existing Student Study	
	Team Processes	
Information Recorded by:		
	(name)	
	(school)	
	(SCHOOL)	

Instructions for Assuring Confidentiality of Personally Identifiable Information.

Before the completed form is returned to the project director at the State Department of Education, the student's name <u>must</u> be removed, and his or her project identification number <u>must</u> be marked on the form.

General Instructions.

There are five parts to this form, along with a partial list of possible modifications. Parts I,II,IV, and V should be completed for each student in your school sample. In completing Part II for a particular student, use only those pages that pertain to that student. Part III should be completed only for those students whom the student study team refers to other school or community services or to assessment.

Data Collection Period.

The ending date for entering new data in this Student Record Form is December 13, 1985. The beginning date is at the discretion of the school/SEPLA. That is, existing data already in student study team files or school files can be entered into this record.

Returning the Completed Forms.

At the end of the data collection period (December 13, 1985) please return the completed form to your school representative, who will forward it to your SELPA representative, who will forward it to the project staff in Sacramento.

We appreciate your time and effort. A preliminary report on the project should be arriving at your school/SELPA around March 1, 1986.

FORM 13, Rev. 10-9-85; GS/MS



FORM 13, Part I. Student Information

A.	Stude	nt's name:				
			Last	First	Middle	
в.	Ident	ification codes	SELPA	 School	Student	
					ocudenc	
c.	Stude	nt's birthdate:	month date	,19		
D.	Gende	r (check one)	Male []	Female []		
E.	Grade	level of enrol	lment in fall s	emester 1985-86:_		
F.	Domin	ant language:		, other langu	age(s) (specify)	
G.	Progra	am enrollment(s) as of referral	l date:	, 19	
	(a)	regular educat	cion (specify)			
	(b)	categorical pr	ograms (specify)	[]	
	(c)	special educat	ion programs (s	pecify)	[]	
	(d)	school/distric	t developed pro	grams (specify)		
				_	[]	
	(e)	other (specify	·)		[]	





Student	Name:		
	•		

			Student I.D.#:		
STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Rela	tion To	COMMENTS		
	BEFORE	AFTER			
decoding	[]		•		
word attack skills/phonics	[]	·	•		
comprehension	[]		· .		
speed	()		•		
fluency	[]				
sight vocabulary	[]		. •		
confuses words (was/saw, on/no, want/went)	[]	<u></u>			
Other, specify:	[]				
	[]				
	[]				
	[]				
	[]				
	[]				
BEFORE: Check if a characteristic i	is a prob	lem BEFO	DRE modification.		
AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a property of the characteristic	coblem; "	CP" if a	continuing problem; and		
MODIFICATIONS/INTERVENTIONS: (please	se be spe	cific an	nd concrete)		
Type(s) of modification:					
Date(s) of modification:					
Provider(s) of modification:					
Team judgment of student success as	fter modi	fication	n:		
Criteria used?					
COMMENTS:					



FORM 13, Part II, Page B. MATHEMATICS/ARITHMETIC

Student	Name:		
Student	I.D.#:_		

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relat	ations:	COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTER	
facts/terms/symbols (=,+,-,±,x).	[]		•
basic computation	()		•
word problems	[]		· •
concepts	[]		•
application (money, time, measurement)	[]		
numeral reversals (12/21)	[]		
Other, specify:	[]	 	•
	()		•
	[]		•
	()		•
	[]		
	()		•
	נז		
		<u> </u>	<u></u>

BEFORE: Check if & characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:
Date(s) of modification:
Provider(s) of modification:
Team judgment of student success after modification:
Criteria used?
COMMENTS:



Student	Name:_	
Student	I.D.#:	

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTER	
skill well below reading achievement	[]		•
omits or adds letters	[]		•
poor sequencing	[]		•
spells phonetically	[]		
poor visual memory	[]		•
sound-symbol difficulty	[]		•
endings	[]		•
cannot spell from list or dictation	[]		•
spells poorly in written assignments	[]		•
guesses wildly when spelling .	[]		•
skills well below <u>grade</u> level .	[]		•
Other, specify:	[]		•
		1 2220	NRRdifference

BEFORE: Check if a characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:
Date(s) of modification:
Provider(s) of modification:
Team judgment of student success after modification:
Criteria used?
COMMENTS:



Student	Name:	
C+ +	T 5 # .	

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS		
	BEFORE	After			
grammar	[]		•		
punctuation	[]		•		
capitalization	[]		٠.		
writing sentences	[]		•		
writing paragraphs	[]		•		
poor written expression	[]		. •		
Other, specify:	[]		•		
	[]	<u> </u>	•		
	[]		•		
	[]		•		
	[]		•		
	[]		•		
	-[]		•		

BEFORE: Check if a characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:	
Date(s) of modification:	
Provider(s) of modification:	· .
Team judgment of student success after modification:	
Criteria used?	
COMMENTS:	



Student	Name:_	
Student	I.D.#:	:

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTER	
poor grammar, articulation	[]		•
poor listening skills	r j		•
poor understanding of commands or directions	[]		•
limited vocabulary: speaking listening	()		* #
poor comprehension of discussion	[]		
poorly retained auditory input .	()		•
confusion between dominant and secondary language	[]		•
poor pronunciation	ι ι		•
<pre>blocks on "easy words" (word finding/retrieval difficulty)</pre>	[]		•
poor verbal organization	[]		
uses words inaccurately	ر)،		
(Continued on E-2)			

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

MODIFICATIONS/INTERVENTIONS:	(please b	ne specific	and	concrete)
------------------------------	-----------	-------------	-----	-----------

Type(s) of modification:	
Date(s) of modification:	
Provider(s) of modification:	
Team judgment of student success after modification:	
Criteria used?	
COMMENTS:	



Student	Name:
Chudont	T D #-
Student	I.D.#:

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTE R	
unable to express thoughts and feelings	[]		•
nonfluency	[]		
voice disorders	[]		•
language processing difficulty .	[]		
Other, specify:	[]		
	[]		
	[]		
	[]		
	[]		
	[]		
	[]		
	[]		
. -	·		

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:
Date(s) of modification:
Provider(s) of modification:
Team judgment of student success after modification:
Criteria used?
COMMENTS:



Student	Name:		
Student	I.D.#:		

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	1	tion To	COMMENTS	
	BEFORE	AFTER		
illegible	[]		•	
reverses (7-7, J-7)	[]		•	
difficulty copying from page and blackboard	[]		-	
skills well below grade level .	[]			
ense-tight writing style	[]		•	
poor rhythm	[]			
poor writing posture or pencil grip	[]		•	
unusual difficulty staying on lines	[]		•	
crowds writing at margins	[]		•	
Other, specify:	[]			
	[]		•	

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:	
Date(s) of modification:	
Provider(s) of modification:	
Team judgment of student success after modification:	
Criteria used?	
COMMENTS:	



FORM 13, Part II, Page G-1. GENERAL ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

Student	Name:	
Student	I.D.#	:

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTER	
grade point average (GPA)	[]		
credits: behind, completed	[]		
performance in comparison to grade	[]		· .
low achievement in relation to "ability"	[]		
slow learner	[]		
few academic strengths	[]		
"appears to be very bright"	[]	<u> </u>	
previous intervention/ modification history	[]		
test results	[]		•
does not exhibit reasoning	[]		
difficulty in learning and using concepts	[]		•
(Continued on G-2)			

BEFORE: Check if a characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:
Date(s) of modification:
Provider(s) of modification:
Team judgment of student success after modification:
Criteria used?
COMMENTS:



Student	Name:_	 	 	
Student	I.D.#:			

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTER	
forgets previously learned material	[]		•
knowledge gaps (surprises with what does/doesn't know)	[]		· •
learns better through some channels than others	[]		
learns some skills better than others	[]		
Other, specify:	[]		•
	[]	· ———	•
	[]		•
	()		
	[]		
	[]		
	[].		
43			
BEFORE: Check if a characteristic	is a prob	lem BEFO	ORE modification.
AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a property of the characteristic	roblem; " is a new	CP" if a	continuing problem; and
MODIFICATIONS/INTERVENTIONS: (please	se be spe	cific ar	nd concrete)
Type(s) of modification:			
Date(s) of modification:			
Provider(s) of modification:			
Team judgment of student success a	fter modi	fication	n:



Criteria used?______

COMMENTS:____

Status :	

s de la companya de l			CALLED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
	to turn	100	enemal's
	40010-4010	94400 1	The state of the s
- Balante des dialet des generales	1 1	<u> </u>	
there are the talk and the second	1.1	indialização, por	,
diane and date electrolidade encamentente	(1)	<u> </u>	·
Main and Capture Manager capes, all field Captures Granders capes	র ম		
district and additionally	٠, ١	marane.	•
CONTINUE MARKET AND	4 1		-
processing to employed discussion	4 1	_E100E4.51	•
grams up appropriate and descriptions	* *		_
THE CHARGE CONTRACTOR OF STREET	1 1	Marie E.	-
grammerand and residence of settlement	(1	4年长线化药4	±
antiferration or appropried	1.1	建筑在影响在 他。	-

appears, these of a statement of the problem appear and freetian, and the statement of the

The same of the sa	
	٠
ADDRESS AND ADDRES	
The delignment of engineer agreement after analytical seas-	Þ
Entitional Co. Character and the Co.	•
	•

Student	Name:	
Student	I.D.#:	

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relat	tion To	COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTER	
carelessly executed work	()		•
poor quality work	t i		•
Other, specify:	()		· •
	(1		
	()		
	(1)		. •
	t i		•
	()	<u>·</u>	•
	()		
	()		
	()		
	(1)		
	(1)		
SEFORE: Check if a characteristic : AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a pa	is a prob	lem BEFO	ORE modification.

MODIFICATIONS/INTERVENTIONS: (please be specific and concrete)	
Type(s) of modification:	
Dete(s) of modification:	
Provider(s) of modification:	
Team judgment of student success after modification:	
Criteria used?	
CONNENTS:	

42

FORM 13, Part II, Page I-1. SOCIAL/EMOTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

Student	Name:		_		
Student	I.D.#:	:			

			·
STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTER	· .
emotional cycle (good days and bad days)	. []		
_			•
cries easily	. []		•
seems withdrawn	[]		•
nervousness	[]		
nailbiting, other nervous tics .	[]	·	•
is often angry	[]		•
inadequate self-concept	[]	<u> </u>	
does not display emotion	[]		•
often appears depressed	[]		
hypoactive	[]		•
hyperactive	[]		
temperamental	[]		•
(Continued on-I-2)			
BEFORE: Check if a characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.			

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:
Date(s) of modification:
Provider(s) of modification:
Team judgment of student success after modification:
Criteria used?
COMMENTS:



FORM 13, Part II, Page 1-2. SOCIAL/EMOTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

Student	Name:			
			<u> </u>	
Student	I.D.#	:		

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTER	
poor frustration tolerance	[]		•
does not adjust to changes in routine	f]		÷
is often afraid	[]		
is loud and boisterous	[]		•
frequently fights	[]		• .
destroys property	[]		•
steals	[]		
lies	[]		
does not relate well to peers .	[]		
is hostile to other students	[]		
is argumentative	[]		
is very social (has many friends)	[]		•
(Continued on I-3)			

BEFORE: Check if a characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:
Date(s) of modification:
Provider(s) of modification:
Team judgment of student success after modification:
Criteria used?
COMMENTS:



FORM 13, Part II, Page I-3. SOCIAL/EMOTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

Student	Name:				
Student	T.D.#•				

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTER	
seems threatened by other students	[]		•
does not participate in games or other sports activities	[]		•
is withdrawn/shy	()		•
Other, specify:	()		
	[]		
	[]		•
	[]		
	[]		
	[]		•
	[]		•
	[]		
<u> </u>	[]		
BEFORE: Check if a characteristic	is a prob	olem BEFO	ORE modification.

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:		
Date(s) of modification:		
Provider(s) of modification:		
Team judgment of student success af	ter modification:	
Criteria used?	٠.	
COMMENTS:		



FORM 13, Part II, Page J-1. SCHOOL BEHAVIOR

Student	Name:
Student	I.D.#:

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:				COMMENTS	
	BEFORE	AFTER				
does not participate in class activities	[]		•			
does not "own" actions, blames others for his actions	[]		•			
			•			
makes "excuses"	[]	<u> </u>	•			
makes inappropriate noises	[]		•			
is impulsive	[]					
has difficulty working independently	[]		•			
completes little work	[]					
is inattentive	[]					
is easily distracted	[]					
often daydreams	[]					
has vacant expression	[]		•			
(Continued on J-2)						
BEFORE: Check if a characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.						

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and

"NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Provider(s) modification:

MODIFICATIONS/INTERVENTIONS: (please be specific and concrete)

Type(s)	of	f modification:	
Date(s)	of	f c dification:	

Criteria used?	٠.
COMMENTS:	



39

Student	t N	ame	::		_		
			-				•
	_	_	••				

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS				
	BEFORE	AFTER					
cheats on tests	[]		•				
is defiant	[]		•.				
talks without permission	[]		•				
plays with objects while working or listening	[]		·				
often out of seat	[]		·				
cannot/will not work with others	[]						
does not follow rules in class or on playground/school property	[]						
is in constant motion	[]						
is a passive resister	(1)		•				
has excessive absences	[]						
is excessively tardy	[]		-				
is hostile toward adults	[]		•				
(Continued on J-3)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
BEFORE: Check if a characteristic		1.					
AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a p "NP" if the characteristic	roblem; ' is a nev	'CP" if a problem	a continuing problem; and				
MODIFICATIONS/INTERVENTIONS: (plea	se be spe	ecific ar	nd concrete)				
Type(s) of modification:							
Date(s) of modification:							
Provider(s) of modification:	Provider(s) of modification:						
Team judgment of student success a	Team judgment of student success after modification:						
Criteria used?		<u> </u>					
COMMENTS:							



FORM 13, Part II, Page J-3. SCHOOL BEHAVIOR

Student	Name:
Studont	T D #.

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relat		COMMENTS		
	BEFORE	AFTER			
encourgaes peers to disrupt class/other activities	[]		•		
will not follow direction/ guidance	[]	·	•		
poor attitude towads adult authority	[]		• ••		
Other, specify:	[]		·		
	[]		•		
·	[]				
	[]				
	[]		•		
	[]		•		
	[]				
	(1)		•		
BEFORE: Check if a characteristic	is a prob	olem BEF	ORE modification.		
AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.					
MODIFICATIONS/INTF VENTIONS: (please be specific and concrete)					

Type(s) of madracation:	
Date(s) of modification:	

Provider(s) of modification:

Team judgment of student success after modification:

Criteria used?

COMMENTS:		
COMMENTS:	 	



Student	Name:			
		<u> </u>		_
Student	T D #-			

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTER	
fine coordination:			
handwriting	()		
-	1		. .
cutting			•
pasting	[]		•
printing	[]		
drawing	[]		. •
difficulty in making designs .	[]		
gross coordination:			
walking	[]		
running	[]		
jumping	[]		
poor balance	[]		
poor eye hand coordination	[]		
Other, specify:	[]		

BEFORE: Check if a characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:		
Date(s) of modification:		
Provider(s) of modification:		
Team judgment of student success	after modification:	
Criteria used?	· .	
COMMENTS:		·





FORM 13, Part II, Page L. PRESCHOOL DEVELOPMENT

Stude nt	Name:
Student	T D #•

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications: BEFORE AFTER		COMMENTS
self-feeding	[]		•
uses spoon	[]		•.
uses fork	[]		•
uses knife	[]		•
self-dressing	[]		
ties own shoes	[]		. •
self-toileting	[]		
washes self	[]		
Other, specify:	[]		
	[]		•
	[]		•
	[1]		
	[]		•
	1	1	

BEFORE: Check if a characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:	
Date(s) of modification:	
Provider(s) of modification:	
Team judgment of student success after modification:	
Criteria used?	
COMMENTS:	



FORM 13, Part II, Page M-1. PERCEPTUAL DYSFUNCTIONS Student Name:

Student I.D.#:____

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		Comments
	BEFORE	after	
reversals	[]		•
distortions	[]		•
visual problems:		•	
decoding	[]		
discrimination	[]	<u> </u>	
constancy	[]		. •
figure-ground confusion	[]		
auditory problems:			·
discrimination	[]		1.
closure	[]		
sound blending	[]		
memory problems:			
visual input	[]		
	}		
(Continued on M-2)			

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CF" if a continuing problem; and

"NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

e specific	and	concrete
į	e specific	e specific and

Type(s) of modification:	<u> </u>
Date(s) of modification:	
Provider(s) of modification:	
Team judgment of student success after modification:_	
Criteria used?	
COMMENTS:	

FORM 13,	Part	II,	Page	M-2.	PERCEPTUAL	DYSFUNCTIONS	Student	Name:
----------	------	-----	------	------	------------	--------------	---------	-------

Student I.D.#:___

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relament		COMMENTS	
	BEFORE	AFTER		
memory problems, cont.				
oral input	[]		:	
retrieval/output:		-		
oral	[]			
written	[]			
kinesthetic	[]		. "	
Other, specify:	(1)			
· ·	. []			
	[1]			
	. []			
	[]			
	[]			
	ı i			

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:	
Date(s) of modification:	
Provider(s) of modification:	
Team judgment of student success after modification:	
Criteria used?	—
COMMENTS:	

Student	Name:
Student	I.D.#:

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Relation To Modifications:		COMMENTS			
	BEFORE	AFTER				
excessive physical symptoms (pains)	į)		•			
low vitality	()		\cdot			
frequent illness	[]	 	•			
headaches: frequent or severe .	[]		•			
dizzy spells	[]		•			
visual problems	[]					
wears glasses	[]		•			
auditory problems	[]		•			
wears hearing aid	[]					
takes a regular medication	[]					
uses illicit drugs	ιj					
frequent@bathroom:trips :	[]					
(Continued on N-2)						
BEFORE: Check if a characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.						
AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a p "NP" if the characteristic	roblem; " is a new	'CP" if a	a continuing problem; and			
MODIFICATIONS/INTERVENTIONS: (plea	se be spe	cific a	nd concrete)			
Type(s) of modification:	Type(s) of modification:					
Date(s) of modification:						
Provider(s) of modification:						
Team judgment of student success after modification:						
Criteria used?						
COMMENTS:						



${\bf Student}$	Name:

otudent I.D.#:	Student	I.D.#:		
----------------	---------	--------	--	--

STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	In Rela	tion To	COMMENTS
	BEFORE	AFTE R	
drooling	[]		•
continual or severe drainage of:			
eyes	[]	<u> </u>	·
ears	[]		•
nose	[]		
fondles self excessively (this has			••
been reported as a possible early clue to child molestation			
sexual abuse)	[]	<u> </u>	•
Other, specify:	[]		•
	[]		•
	[]		•
	[]		•
	[]		

BEFORE: Check if a characteristic is a problem BEFORE modification.

AFTER: Note "OK" if no longer a problem; "CP" if a continuing problem; and "NP" if the characteristic is a new problem.

Type(s) of modification:	
Date(s) of modification:	
Provider(s) of modification:	
Team judgment of student success after modification:	
Criteria used?	
COMMENTS:	



					Maintaine.	•	All underst 1 & 0 :
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	B 2		Madent 1,0,0;
					\$0 0010 300149	than the	CORPUDITS
					*******	****	
				and the second s	and particularly of the second	41-1771-11	Line and the Control of the Control
				profite allegge-geologie ei givi erret 1900 markete erret eige spiritume verschilt erstent alle			
				mine sauther-affaire the appears there is .			
				Marie 44			
							•
					1 1	## 1 · 1	
					, ,	•	, ,
				and the second s			l´,
					r 1	ennenan.	2
				بعد مقابلة فيستستسف مداد للبيد المادات المادات المادات المادات المادات المادات المادات المادات المادات	I ' '	1.1	,
1 1					1 1	<u> </u>	,
1 1							
		D. Grant of a second a grant of the problem of the section.	The shape of the chapters of the contract of the contract of the chapters of the chapters of the chapters of the chapters of the contract of the chapters of t	and the second s		1.2:2:13h4u	,
		The special of the special of the special special contract of the special cont	till de		1 1	1.24.1.222	٠
	To the section of the section of the section of the section.	p. thus of a share-territies to a problem school matricestics.	The first of the characters of the continue of the state of the characters and the state of the characters of the continue of the characters and the state of the characters of the continue of the characters of the ch				
		D. Show of a characteristic to a problem scribble matification.	The first of the chapters of the case problem.	عقتت مددستان التيثان التراكات والمساد والمساد والمساد	1 ' '	1.4+1	,
And which the contract the properties of the state of the contract the state of the	Description of a characteristic to a problem action matrices.	the first of a superioristic to a problem activity modification.	The first of a state of the sta		: 1	<u> </u>	٠.
	De Sunt of a characteristic to a product action action.	D. Comparison of the second of the second of the second second of the second second of the second of	The first of a single-test of the problem of the street section and the of the single-test of the analysis of the analysis of the single-test of the analysis of the analysis of the single-test	The second secon			
A THE STATE OF THE		water name of an equate a problem, "CP" of a continuing problem, and	with the electricity of the authors, the same problem.		1 1		l '
the state of the s		while the state of the same of problem the state of the continuing problem and	with the electricity of the authors, the same problem.	بعقم مستقيم والمؤلف والمستقدم والمستقل والمستقل والمستقل والمستقل المستقل المستقل والمستقل وا			
alle 44 tipe instructions in 24 pe a use beapton.		Ministrative Control of the Control		- Claim Tille of an earges o pr Tille of the otherwise of a	rabiani (eprobles	ewettauing problem, and
The statement of the st	Marketon (Milliant 1960) - Ketanar to agree the agreet to and concrete)			Marketon (Miller of the selection) - 46	rebien, ' 14 é ins 1- to eja	epe st i	emotioning problem, and h. nd concrete!
Ministrator (ministrator) - 10 to 0 and property.	Marketon (Millianne 1980) - Ketanar to agree the agree the concrete)			And the spoteston of the	rebien, ' 14 é ins 1- to eja	epe st i	emotioning problem, and h. nd concrete!
The statement of the statement of the second statement	MARKET CONTROL OF THE STREET ASSESSMENT OF THE STREET	OF THE CONTROL OF THE STATE OF	OF THE CONTROL OF THE STATE OF	The time the tender of the tender of the time that the tender of the time that the tender of the time that the time that the time time the time that the time time time time time time time tim		eproblem	e continuing problem; and h. In concrete!
THE AT THE STREET AND A SECOND TO SECOND SHOP SHOW SHOW SHOW SHOW SHOW SHOW SHOW SHOW	- ** *** *****************************	AND CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	AND CONTRACT SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	TOP 47 (the effections of the section of the sectio		eproblem	e continuing problem; and h. In concrete!
	The state of the s					epostes restse of	n continuing problem, and h. In concrete:
	Ten of court + of the total tensors and the court is the court of the					ertse of	n continuing problem, and h.
						restae of	to continuing problem; and h. as concrete!
						restae of	to continuing problem; and h. as concrete!



PORM	13,	Part	III,	Team	Ref	erral	for
				Servi	COB	/ABBQE	sment

Student	Name_				
Student	I.D.#				

Instructions.

Complete only for those students whom the team refers to other school or community services or to assessment. Check all that apply.

Example.

This part of the Individual Student Record has four response options for each item in a listing of possible service providers. In some cases all options may be necessary to describe the service/assessment levels for a student. In some cases one, two, or three, or none of the options apply to a particular student. Below is an example of a student who was referred, reviewed and found eligible for medical services but who had not begun therapy for a continuing health problem at the end of the fall semester 1985-85 data collection period:

	Referred	Reviewed/	Eligible	Served
		<u>Ass</u> essed		
(a) public health	[x]	[x]	[x]	[]

If, alternatively, the student had been referred and assessed and was ineligible for services only the first two options would have been checked.

	Referred	Reviewed/ Assessed	Eligible	Served
1. Other school programs and community services	:			
(a) public health	[]	[]	[]	[]
(b) mental health	[,]	[]	[]	[]
(c) rehabilitation	[]	[]	[]	[]
(d) vocational/career education	[]	[]	[]	[]
(e) English as a Second Language (bilingual	[]	[]	[]	[]
(f) GATE (Gifted and Talented Education)	[]	[]	[]	[]
(g) C-E (Chapter 1/SCE (State Compensatory Education))[]	[]	[]	[]
(h) employment/job service	[]	[]	[]	[]
(i) service clubs (example: Lions)	[]	[]	[]	[]
(j) social services, welfare, family service	es []	[]	[]	[]
(k) child protective services	[]	[]	[]	[]

FORM 13, Rev. 10-10-85; GS/MS



FORM	13,	Part	III,	cont.
------	-----	------	------	-------

Student	Name

Student	I.D.#

1. Other school programs and community services, cont.

				Reviewed/ Assessed		Eligible		Serv	red	
(1)	Head Start	[)		[]	[]	[]
(m)	truancy control officer	[]		ſ	1	[]	[]
(n)	school district attendance and review board (SARB)	[]		[1	ſ	1	[1
(0)	remedial math	ĺ)		ſ	1	[]	[]
(p)	remedial reading	[]		ſ]	[]	[]
(بړ)	school office (principal)	[)		ſ]	[]	[]
(r)	counselor	ſ)	••	ſ	3	[1	[]
(s)	school nurse for medical evaluation, followup, home visits	[)		[1	[]	[]
(t)	psychologists	[)		(1	[1	[) .
(u)	general education consultant	[)		[]	ſ	1	ſ)
(v)	School Improvement Program (SIP)	[)		[]	ſ]	[)
(w)	assessment for possible special education services	[)		[1	[1	[]
(x)	other, specify:	_ [)		[1	[1	[1

COMMENTS:

FORM 13, Rev. 10-10-85; GS/MS

Form	13, Part IV,	Student Status at the End
		of the Data Collection Period
		(December 13, 1985)

Student	Name	
Student.	I.D.#	

Instructions.

Complete this part of the Individual Student Record for all students in your school sample. Check all that apply.

(a) original modifications still in progress		[]
(b) modifications have been changed, <u>new</u> modifications are in progress		[]
(c) student succeeding with modifications, team is still involved		[]
(d) student successful with modifications, team is no longer involved (case closed)	- .	[]
(e) student has been referred for other school or community services		[]
(f) other, specify		[]

COMMENTS:



FORM	13,	Part	V,	Student	Enrol	lment	at	End
				of Data	Collec	tion	Per	iod
				(Decemb	er 13.	1985)	

Student	Name			

	(December 13, 1903)	Student I.D.#
Ins	tructions. Check all that apply and specify where required.	
(a)	regular education (specify)	[]
(b)	categorical programs other than special education (spe	ecify) []
(c)	school/district developed programs (specify)	
(a)	special education programs (specify types of instructi and exceptional need classification, for example: reso program (RSP), speech therapy (DIS) and speech impaire	urce specialist
(e)	student has moved out of school attendance area	[]
(<u>f</u>)	student has dropped out of school	[]
(g)	student has transferred to another school	[]
(h)	other (graduation, illness, death, specify)	[]

COMMENTS:



PARTIAL LISTING OF MODIFICATIONS

A. Environment

study carrels change seating, preferential seating reduce distractions where possible change to another class change groups create more physical space for consider physical health problem cross-age, cross-grade, peer or adult tutors special study area use small groups individualized groups change: teacher, grade, school change of schedule adjustment of length of day reduce length of day use activity breaks systematic exclusion: -student works in conf. area goes to class only when willing to follow directions use "timeout" (remove student from classroom) seat student next to teacher's desk use detention move student -away from friends -close to front of class -close to center of instruction -to lighter side of room -to dark side of room -near solid "security" object after school

B. Materials

use different materials:
-tapes
-manipulatives
-task cards
-slates
-"math their way"
-work job blocks
-filmstrips
-overhead projectors
-records
use typewriter
use diagnostic materials
use district continuums

and the same state of the same of

B. Materials (continued)

use computer
remedial reading, math programs
Chapter I/School Improvement
Program/Miller-Unruh services
San Diego Quick Assessment & Reading
Continuum
computer assisted instruction
use timer to direct & stimulate
attention
directions or letter/number models
on desk for easy reference &
self-correction

C. Assignments

simplify shorten individual contracts use buddy system/partners use of notebooks for assignment use copying for visual/motor reinforcement -alternative assignment structure -extend time to complete assignments use boldface type use alternative assignments structure (i.e., offer a choice of three methods of reporting use specialized assignments to be done at home with assistance of their parents SSR & SSW to generate fluency in reading/writing -SSR=sustained silent reading -SSW=sustained silent writing assign specific tasks that might build self-esteem use high interest/low level materials breakdown tasks into small steps give student permission to "contract" for reduced assignment form small work groups where all students are responsible for making sure that each member successfully completes assignments restructure priorities/assignments

D. Teaching Techniques

agreement on learner's part to accept designated responsibilities classroom contracts have learner keep study book if learner is told something it is done (i.e., compliance with directions) have a joint teacher/pupil signal that the student gives when he doesn't understand materials, directions, etc., or needs more teach child strategies on "how to remember" team the student with a higher functioning student as a role medel have student close his/her eyes & try to visualize the information, seeing things in their minds determine time of day student feels and works best vary voice volumne as required, vary pace (speak slowly) use eye contact use hand on shoulder contact reduce situational amount/degree of work when appropriate teacher circulates through room repeat instruction-same way list assignments and/or instructions on board use behavior modification use visual aids in giving instructions (overhead/board) don't grade during the learning phase - but do analyze and feed back to learner use language master "show me" cards or other whole class participation use stopwatch/timer to motivate childern to master combinations, build concepts by dramatization, movement, concrete materials an agreement to "back-off" from the student to see if he or she responds to a type of "benign

reinforce correct responses promptly use praise reword for starting, continuing or completing tasks clarify rules provide routine schedule list & use student's interests teach to student's strength areas use modifications on whole class (rather than single-out student) compare student to him/herself - not to others grade student on progress from point A to point B Re-teaching (defined as presenting instructions with a different modality, technique or material) have student rehearse expectations during the day grade on quality of work with administration approval allow student to take course pass/fail simplify communication, adapt style to learner repeat directions slowly and have student repeat them simplify oral directions to a maximum of three sequential actions spend extra time reviewing what happened yesterday, what will happen today, and what will happen tomorrow walk through or rehearse new classroom activities, routines, or establish simplified, consistent routines & provide assistance/ supervision during transition from one activity to another use visual exercises vary instructional materials/methods emphasize auditory materials & methods such as rhymes, songs, records, tapes, et.c.



neglect"

E. Learning Channel

for auditory sequencing problems: -limit number of oral instruction -teach note taking skills -use lessons on overhead/tape recorder auditory visual kinesthetic review kindergraten inventory of development give the Learning Methods Test and/or Learning Rate Test (from reading center) neurological impress system determine strongest sensory modality & structure assignments around it.

F. Miscellaneous

retention in grade
adjustment of grade
social promotions
make documentation of
interventions/modifications
history available to other staff,
special education personnel
keep work samples
review cumulative folder
develop special classes for study
skills, homework (and other
needs that arise for students
unable to fit into the "special
education" mold)

G. Parent Contact

parent/teacher conferences
parent/teacher/student conferences
parent/teacher/student/principal/
assistant principal/counselor
conferences
classroom intervention through
phone calls to parent
parent as volunteer classroom aide
enlist parent in special homework
assignments
daily/bi-weekly/weekly reports to
parents containing progress,
performance, effort or
citizenship information

G. Parent Contact (continued)

develop home-school contracts for monitoring, disciplining, encouraging or shaping behavior of student effectively and consistently be explicit, frank & tactful encourage parents to express their feelings about what's happening and/or to give additional background information (diet, sleep habits, behavioral history, use active listening offer direction & specific assistance require parent to assume responsibility for some specific activity, do not hesitate to use such statements as: "I need you to...get back to me by Friday...", "It is absolutely necessary to... put problem into broader perspective, e.g., "If we allow this to continue, down the road, Susie will..." be generous with "I care" messages & statements about what the child does do that is right & appropriate in conferences with parent & pupil: -state problem in terms of specific be' wior, instead of "you are a bad ki. or "he/she" is a "bad kid" type messages in conferences, con't. -use specific & concise statements of where "we are" & where "we want" to go, should be positive, a way of sharing, discussion of different ways to "get there" -be frank, but nonpunitive about possible negative consequences if behavior continues -setup timeline in near future to review effects of plan in teacher/ parent/principal conferences: -focus on relative severity of problem, review effects of alternatives used already -encourage parent to express feelings about situation and/or to give additional background information -plan strategies & define solutions -be specific about what teacher,



principal, & parent should/will do

H. Outside Resource Intervention

referrals to other programs,
offices & agencies: -Head Start
-public health

-mental health

-far northern

-truancy control officer

-school district attendance review board

-Bilingual Education

-ESL

-remedial math program/specialist

-remedial reading program/ specialist

-refer for special education assessment

-refer to office

-refer to counselor

-refer to nurse for medical evaluation, followup, home visits

-refer to psychologist

-refer to general education consultant

-refer to speech and language specialist

-refer to School Improvement Program

-refer to Title I

refer to other agencies as student eligible/resources are available

consult with other staff on appropriate and/or available resources for referral

establish a school-level guidance or solution committee

refer to community special services family counseling

I. Behavior Shaping

1) Set aside five minutes at same time everyday to allow and encourage student to express feelings and attitudes about himself and school. Be as nonjudgemental, open ended, and warm as possible. Then briefly review progress and make a few directive statements.

I. Behavior Shaping (continued)

- 2) Perform thorough task-analysis of problem behavior; sequence all components and shape through frequent feedback and reinforcement.
- 3) Teacher and student establish a signal or cue to help monitor/ control the problem behavior.
- 4) Work with aide: train aide in shaping procedures and learning theory as much as possible. After teacher given instructions and demonstration, have aide provide positive, guided practice before allowing student to start work independently.
- 5) Use cross-age tutor, peer tutor, or peer counselor to model specific types of behavior.
- 6) Use a "classroom contingency management program, a) including systematic monitoring of some kind. Teacher monitored or child monitored, must be quiet and easy to do; b) benefits include more immediate and predictable feedback, makes it easier to be accountable/ responsible for oneself, makes work more manageable, less confusing, visual representations help motivate; c) perform a thorough behavioral analysis, identify antecedent behavior consequence sequences, manipulate/experiment with antecedents and consequences; d) collect data to share with other personnel, administrators, parents (may be frequency, duration or interval data).
- enlist student in active problem solving through elicitation of information pertaining to goals, interests:
 - -areas in which student feels he/she does really well
 - -areas in which student would like more help
 - -student goals or ambitious for the rest of the year, for next year, after finishing school.

Rev. 10-15-85; AH/GS/MS



I. Behavior Shaping (continued)

-student goals for a job/career he/she would like after finishing school -ways student learns best -school activities enjoyed most -community activities enjoyed most -home activities enjoyed most involve student in extra curricular activities/other special interest groups pursue before or after school contact time with student encourage student to express feelings about situations, use "active listening" state problem in terms of specific behavior, instead of "you are a bad kid" type messages" reiterate limits, guidelines and consequences in a calm manner discuss ways of helping student stay within guidelines require student to choose and be accountable for a plan of action provide student with special times to discuss problems, feelings, accomplishments

Rev. 10-15-85; AH/GS/MS

Draft Form 14, Compilation of Surveys of Participants in Student Study Teams,
Form 10, Fall Semester, 1985-86.

TO:	Margaret Scheffelin, Program Evaluation an	d Researc			
	Department of Educati 721 Capitol Mall	on			
	Sacramento, CA 95814		•	•	•
				,	v.
FROM:				• ,	
I KOII.	Name of SELPA			_/	Date
				()
	SELPA Representative	_		, <u></u>	Phone No.
	Participant survey for e chart below. A total ned.				
	Dis	play of P	articipation in Sur	vey	
			Number of Forms		Number of Forms
Partic	eipating		Distributed at		Completed and
Scho	ools		the School		Returned
					•
1	 				
4					
TOTALS	;				



Draft Form 15, Compilation of Logs of Student Study Team Process Decisions, Form 11, Fall Semester, 1985-86.

TO:	Margaret Scheffelin, Project Director Program Evaluation and Research Division						
	Department of Education	searc	n Division				
	721 Capitol Mall				•		
	Sacramento, CA 95814						
FROM:	·		/				
	Name of SELPA			D	ate		
)_			
	SELPA Representative			F	hone No.		
I below.	CT: COMPILATION OF LOG FOR LOG	distr (num	ibuted and returned as ber) completed log for	s show ms are	attached. A	rt 11	
	Display	of Pa	rticipation in Survey				
			Number of Student	Numb	er of Complet	ed	
Partic	ipating		Study Teams Keeping	Log	s Returned to		
School	.s	<u>a</u>	Log at the School	th	e SELPA		
						•	
1		<u>-</u> '					
2		_					
3		_					
4		-					
TOTALS	3						



Draft Form 17, Compilation of Individual Student Records
Form 13, Fall Semester, 1985-86.

TO:	Margaret Scheffe Program Evaluati Department of Ed 721 Capitol Mall Sacramento, CA	,	tor ision	: ::
FROM:			,	
	Name of SELPA			Date
				•
	SELPA Representa	ative		Phoné No.
Si chart i attache numbers	pelow. A total or ed. All student i	f (numbe	r) completed studer aced with student p	project identification
Partic Schools	ipating s	No. of Students Referred to SST In Record Period	No. of Students For whom a Record was Kept\$	No. of Completed Student Records Returned to SELPA
1.				THE COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE
2				
Z•				
3				
4	<u> </u>			
TOTALS				

